



Ms. Rachna Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. G.S. Oberoi, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek, Advocate  
Ms. Rachna Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Vikas Chaudhary, Adv.

Rajasthan

Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, AAG  
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Ankit Raj, Adv.  
Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, Adv.  
Ms. Indira Bhakar, Adv.  
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Advocate

Haryana

Mr. Anil Grover, Adv.  
Dr. Monika Gusain, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Visen, Advocate

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

An affidavit has been filed by the Delhi Police in compliance of the order dated 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

The affidavit contains the list of under progress and pending tasks with the timelines.

We expect all the concerned authorities to ensure that the timelines are adhered to without fail and we also expect the Commissioner of Police to ensure that the timelines are adhered to by the concerned authorities.

In case the Commissioner of Police finds any difficulty in any of the authorities not adhering to the timelines, he is at liberty to move an application in this Court.

We expect the Heads of these authorities to cooperate with the Commissioner of Police and the Delhi Police in this regard.

With regard to the policy for decongesting the police stations by removal/disposal of seized/impounded material/vehicles, etc., it is stated that the policy will be ready within another four weeks from today.

The affidavit also mentions that due to the high work load in District Courts, miscellaneous matters for disposal of applications, etc. do not get the requisite attention.

It is stated that a Central Registry is required to be set up in each of the district complexes in Delhi and for this, assistance of the Delhi High Court is required.

It is also stated that there is only one District Nazir in Delhi and considering the volume of work, several more District Nazirs are required to be appointed. In this regard, the matter was taken up with the Delhi High Court, but the view of the Delhi High Court was that the matter is to be considered by the Government of Delhi. It is not clear whether any further steps have been taken by the Government of Delhi.

Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Government of Delhi says that he will take instructions and report back on this issue.

Since the assistance of the Delhi High Court is also necessary to sort out some of these administrative issues, notice may be issued to the Registrar General of the Delhi High Court to render assistance in the matter.

It is pointed out by learned *amicus curiae* that the timelines that have been given by the Delhi Police are only with regard to Corridor A. She says that the timelines have not been fixed with regard to Corridors B and C.

We have been assured by learned Additional Solicitor General that these timelines will also be finalized. Priority was given to Corridor A for the present.

List the matter on 10<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

The representatives of the Committee should ensure their appearance through an advocate on the next date of hearing.

A copy of this order be sent to all the three Municipal Corporations and the Government of NCT of Delhi.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)  
AR-CUM-PS

(KAILASH CHANDER)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR